



### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICÁTION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/687,518	10/13/2000	Rich Karstens	PALM-3513	7809		
75	7590 03/30/2004			EXAMINER		
Wagner Mural	Wagner Murabito & Hao LLP			LE, DANH C		
Third Floor Two North Mar	Third Floor Two North Market Street			PAPER NUMBER .		
San Jose, CA 95113			2683	. 1		
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·····		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/687,	.518	KARSTENS ET A	AL.			
		Examin		Art Unit				
		DANH C	LE	2683				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on t	he cover sheet v	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
Period fo	• •							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a tatutory minimum of th will expire SIX (6) MC pplication to become A	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered time  DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>26 January 20</u>	<u>)04</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepted or l	b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority							
	2. Certified copies of the priority							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	· ·		n received in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	and and and dollard office dollar		oopios no					
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F			o(s)/Mail Date finformal Patent Application (PT)	O-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

# 1. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Casellini (US 6,404,860).

As to claim 14, Casellini teaches a method for automatically delivering a phone call to a device (col.4, line 14-col.5, line 24), said method comprising:

monitoring for incoming phone calls by a task of an operating system of said device, said task interfacing directly with the telephony functionality of said device, said task always remaining active irrespective of activities of said operating system;

receiving said incoming phone call by said task; and

said task notifying a user of said device of said incoming phone call irrespective of said user's activity on said device and without terminating said activities.

As to claim 15, Casellini teaches the method as recited in claim 1 wherein said portable electronic device is a palmtop computer system (col.1, line 28-38).

As to claim 16, Casellini teaches the method as recited in Claim 14 further comprising answering said incoming call by the user (col.4, line 14-col.5, line 24).

Application/Control Number: 09/687,518 Page 3

Art Unit: 2683

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

# 2. Claim 1-5, 13, 23, 24, 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casellini (US 6,404,860).

As to claim 1, Casellini teaches in a subscriber computer, a method for automatically delivering a phone call (col.4, line 14-col.5, line 24), said method comprising:

monitoring for incoming phone calls by a background task of an operating system of said device, said background task interfacing directly with the telephony functionality of said device, said background task always active, said operating system including at least one application;

detecting said incoming phone call by said background task;

notify said operating system of said incoming phone call by background task; and notifying a user of said device of said incoming phone call by said background task irrespective of the user's activity on said device without terminating said application.

Casellini fails to teach a subscriber computer is a portable electronic device.

However, the teaching of a portable electronic device is obvious since Casellini teaches

Art Unit: 2683

to handle an incoming directing to other device such as the mobile wireless handset.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of mobile wireless handset into the system of Casellini in order to permit the subscriber to be alerted about calls when the subscriber using the mobile wireless handset.

As to claim 2, Casellini teaches the method as recited in claim 1 wherein said portable electronic device is a palmtop computer system (col.1, line 28-38).

As to claim 3, Casellini teaches the method as recited in Claim 1 further comprising answering said incoming phone call by said user (col.4, line 14-col.5, line 24).

As to claim 4, Casellini teaches the method as recited in Claim 3 wherein said notifying said operating system comprises operating to notify said user of said device of said incoming phone call by said background task irrespective of said user's activity on said device, provided said graphical user interface is blocked (col.4, line 14-col.5, line 24).

As to claim 13, Casellini teaches the method as recited in Claim 3 wherein said answering is performed by acknowledging an incoming call display on said graphical user interface (col.4, line 14-col.5, line 24).

As to claim 23, Casellini teaches a system for automatically delivering a phone call to a device (col.4, line 14-col.5, line 24), said system comprising:

a processor coupled to a bus and a display screen coupled to said bus;

Art Unit: 2683

a memory unit coupled to said bus and having stored therein an operating system executed by said processor and a background task executed by said processor, said operating system including at least one graphical user interface; where said background task performs to the steps of monitoring for incoming phone calls by a background task of said operating system of said device, said background task interfacing directly with the telephony functionality of said device, said background task always active, said operating system including at least one application;

detecting said incoming phone call by said background task;

notifying said operating system of said incoming phone call by said background task; and

notifying a user of said device of said incoming phone call by said background task irrespective of the user's activity on said device without terminating said application.

Casellini fails to teach a cellular phone mechanism. However, the teaching of a cellular phone mechanism is obvious since Casellini teaches to handle an incoming directing to other device such as the mobile wireless handset. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of a cellular mechanism into the system of Casellini in order to permit the subscriber to be alerted about calls when the subscriber using the mobile wireless handset.

As to claim 24, Casellini teaches the method as recited in claim 1 wherein said portable electronic device is a palmtop computer system (col.1, line 28-38).

Art Unit: 2683

As to claim 27, Casellini teaches the system as recited in Claim 23 wherein said background task monitors for said incoming phone calls (col.4, line 14-col.5, line 24).

As to claim 28, Casellini teaches the system as recited in Ciaim 27 wherein said background task receives said incoming phone calls (col.4, line 14-col.5, line 24).

As to claim 29, Casellini teaches the system as recited in Claim 33 wherein said background task notifies said graphical user interface of said incoming phone call (col.4, line 14-col.5, line 24).

As to claim 30, Casellini teaches the system as recited in Claim 29 wherein said graphical user interface is blocked, whereby said background operates to notify said user of said device of said incoming phone call irrespective of said user's activity on said device (col.4, line 14-col.5, line 24).

As to claims 5, 31-33, the combine of Casellini and Gonzalez teaches the system as recited in claim 23, the combine of Casellini and Gonzalez fails to teach the application is a graphical User interface and graphical user interface with an image of a cellular phone keypad and digitry. However, a graphic user interface and graphical user interface with an image of a cellular phone keypad and digitry are obvious since the programmer can implement the second window (101a) to GUI with an image of a cellular phone keypad and digitry. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of GUI the system of Casellini in order to enhance system performance of the subscriber computer so the subscriber can dial the phone number on the screen.

Art Unit: 2683

### 3. <u>Claims 7-9, 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable</u> over Casellini in view of Gonzalez (EP 0940 964).

As to claims 7-9, 17-19, Casellini teaches the method as recited in claim 1, Casellini fails to teach the notifying said user is performed by activating a ringer, a vibrator, LEDS on said device. Gonzalez teaches the notifying said user is performed by activating a ringer, a vibrator, LEDS on said device (col.3, line 48-58, col.6, line 30-41). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Gonzalez into the system of Casellini in order to activate a virtual warning device.

# 4. Claims 10-12, 20-22, 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casellini in view of Baranowski (US 6,370,401).

As to claims 11-12, 21-22, 25-26, Yang teaches the method as recited in Claim 3 wherein step e) is performed by pressing a button on the keypad or device. Casellini fails to teach a headset and earbud coupled to said device. Baranowski teaches a headset and earbud coupled to said device (col.2, lines 7-41). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Baranowski into the system of Casellini in order to enhance system performance of the portable television phone.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANH C LE whose telephone number is 703-306-0542. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

Art Unit: 2683

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Danh C.Le

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600